FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE.
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 363 OF 2005.
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1568 OF 2004.

S.R. Chinchkar ..Applicant.
vs.
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Office Notes,Office Memoranda of Coram, appearnaces,Courts's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	:	Court's or Judge's orders

---

Shri S.J. Patil for the applicant.
Shri D.P.Adsule, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM: V.M.KANADE,J.
DATED: 28-2-2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is convicted by the trial Court for having committed an offence punishable under section 324 and section 330 of the Indian Penal Code and is sentenced to suffer R.I. for 2 years. Applicant was on bail during trial. Applicant be released on bail on the same terms and conditions as imposed by the trial Court. He shall, however, execute a fresh bond.

3. In the present case, initially, the applicant was charged for offences

punishable under section 3(1)(x) and 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. It is alleged by the prosecution that the applicant had assaulted a child of 8 years and thereafter the child succumbed to his injuries and died. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State submits that in the event any appeal is filed against acquittal, he may be given liberty to aply for taking action under section 390 of the Criminal Procedure Coide. It is clarified that the present bail is granted subject to the liberty granted to the learned A.P.P.